1	Jay Earl Smith, Esq.	
2	Nevada Bar No. 1182	
	Joseph T. Prete, Esq.	
3	Nevada Bar No. 9654	
4	Katie M. Weber, Esq.	
	Nevada Bar No. 11736	
5	SMITH LARSEN & WIXOM	
	Hills Center Business Park	
6	1935 Village Center Circle	
7	Las Vegas, Nevada 89134	
	Tel: (702) 252-5002	
8	Fax: (702) 252-5006	
	Email: jes@slwlaw.com	
9	jtp@slwlaw.com	
	kw@slwlaw.com	
10	Attorneys for Defendants	
11	Chase Home Finance LLC and	
	Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.	
12		

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

JOSEPH A. GUERRA,)	
) CASE NO. 2:10-cv-00029-KJD-RJJ	
Plaintiff,)	
) MOTION OF CHASE HOME FINANCE	
v.) LLC AND MORTGAGE ELECTRONIC	
) REGISTRATION SYSTEMS, INC. TO	
JUST MORTGAGE INC.; CHASE HOME) STRIKE PLAINTIFF'S RESPONSE TO	
FINANCE; MERS and DOES 1-10,) ADDITIONAL ANSWERS TO	
) COMPLAINT	
Defendants.)	
)	
Defendants Chase Home Finance LL	.C ("Chase") and Mortgage Electronic Registration	
Systems, Inc. ("MERS") (collectively, the "De	efendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel,	
respectfully request that the Court strike Plaintiff's Response to Additional Answers of Chase and		
MERS to Complaint (Docket Entry 53) (the "Response"), pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(f).		

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

Rule 12(f) provides, in pertinent part, that "[t]he court may strike from a pleading an insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter." Plaintiff's Response is, at best, a fugitive and redundant document that is not contemplated within the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Federal Rules"), and it must be stricken.

Moreover, the Response must be stricken because it is prejudicial to Defendants. The Response attaches approximately 35 pages of documents, ostensibly in support of Plaintiff's argument that Defendants are unauthorized to sell the subject property or otherwise enforce the subject loan. While Defendants profoundly disagree with Plaintiff's argument, and disagree with Plaintiff's interpretation of the documents attached to the Response, the Federal Rules do not contemplate filing of responses to an answer, unless specifically ordered by the Court. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 7(a). The Response must be stricken in order to prevent Plaintiff's one-sided argument from becoming part of the record, which would be highly prejudicial to Defendants.

For each of the foregoing reasons, Defendants respectfully request that Plaintiff's Response be stricken from the records of this case.

DATED this 30th day of December, 2010.

SMITH LARSEN & WIXOM

Jay Earl Smith, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 1182

Joseph T. Prete, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 9654

Katie M. Weber, Esq.

Nevada Bar No. 11736

1935 Village Center Circle

Las Vegas, Nevada 89134

Attorneys for Defendants

Chase Home Finance LLC and

Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this 30 day of December, 2010, a true copy of the foregoing Motion of Chase Home Finance LLC and Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc. to Strike Plaintiff's Response to Additional Answers to Complaint was served electronically via CM/ECF, or by mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

> Joseph A. Guerra 8938 West Katie Ave. Las Vegas, NV 89147 Plaintiff in Pro Per (Service via mail)

James E. Murphy, Esq. Laxalt & Nomura, Ltd. 6720 Via Austi Pkwy., Ste. 430 Las Vegas, NV 89119 jmurphy@laxalt-nomura.com and Reuben Yeroushalmi Yeroushalmi & Associates 9100 Wilshire Blvd., Ste. 610E Beverly Hills, CA 90212 reuben@yeroushalmi.com Attorneys for Defendant Just Mortgage, Inc. (Service via CM/ECF)

an employee of Smith Larsen & Wixom

18 19 20

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28